

Microplastic Identification in the Faeces of Pregnant Women

by Sitti Maisuri Tadjuddin Chalid

Submission date: 21-Jun-2021 11:23AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1609853339

File name: SJBR_511_299-3021.pdf (257.39K)

Word count: 2809

Character count: 15647

Microplastic Identification in the Faeces of Pregnant Women

Ervina Septami AR¹, Hasnawati Amqam^{1*}, Sitti Maisuri Tadjuddin Chalid², Anwar Daud¹, Hasanuddin Ishak¹, Stang³

¹Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

²Obstetrics and Gynecology Division, Fetomaternal Division, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

³Department of Reproductive Health, Faculty of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

DOI: [10.36348/sjbr.2020.v05i11.003](https://doi.org/10.36348/sjbr.2020.v05i11.003)

Received: 21.10.2020 | Accepted: 05.11.2020 | Published: 06.11.2020

*Corresponding author: Hasnawati Amqam

Abstract

Microplastics come from various types of materials in the form of pieces, fibres, fragments, granules, slabs, or tiny flakes between 0.1-5000 μm . It is very resistant to degradation and is insoluble in water. Microplastics are widely distributed in the oceans, sediments, land, and consumed by marine organisms such as fish, shellfish. This study aims to describe the presence of microplastics in the faeces of pregnant women. This type of research is an analytic observation with a cross-sectional design. The sample in this study amounted to thirty pregnant women. Data obtained through interviews using a questionnaire and examination of faeces samples. The results showed that all stool samples contained microplastics. The number of microplastics found ranged from 5 to 21 microplastics with the types of fiber, fragments, and films. The length of the microplastics ranges from 0.2 - 4.9 mm. It is concluded that ingested microplastics are disposed of through feces but the residue will accumulate in the body and can pose health risks in the long term. Encouraging the role of the government through education and regulation so that the community obeys and does not throw garbage anywhere.

Keywords: Microplastic, Seafood, Amount, Type, Size.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Plastic has become a global issue due to its continuous growth over time. Plastics come from various products that are initially difficult to decompose by microorganisms and are very resistant to degradation [4]. High population in urban areas are one of the leading causes of the increasing volume of plastic waste [18]. Accumulation, generally in open dumps, is associated with environmental pollution [14]. In terms of manufacture, plastics are dominated by polymers of polystyrene, polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, and polyethylene terephthalate [20]. The increase of plastic-based materials results in around 360 million tons of microplastic pollution. Asia occupies the world's highest production of plastic waste by 51% [21]. It is estimated that more than 5 trillion pieces of plastic float in the oceans worldwide weighing more than 250,000 tons, which is scientifically harmful to aquatic ecosystems.

The long degradation of plastics has resulted in microplastics which are a combination of various types of materials, which can be pieces, fibres, granules, slabs, or tiny flakes between 0.1-5000 μm [11]. The degradation process that occurs by external forces such

as wind, ocean currents, and waves causes plastic waste to be fragmented into smaller pieces through weathering, including exposure to UV rays and biodegradation [9]. Plastic waste is widely distributed in water, sediment, fish, shellfish, soil, and even air [13]. Materials that were degraded to microplastics (MPs, < 5 mm) were found as fibres, fragments, films, and nano plastics (NP; particles < 0.1 μm ; 100 nm) [26], whereas the size of microplastics presented at sea level, sediments and biota measuring < 1- 1.5 mm [19].

Microplastics are defined as primary and secondary microplastics based on their respective characteristics. Primary microplastics are small plastics that are made in such a way, deliberately added to cosmetic products, cleaning agents, and skin exfoliants, which can enter the environment through sewage treatment plants, ship paints, and building cleaners. Secondary one when it comes from physical and chemical degradation of plastic waste [17].

Microplastics are widespread and ubiquitous in marine habitats from surfaces and coastlines to deep seas. Small pieces can be absorbed by small biota ranging from fish to shellfish, which have the potential

to cause damage [27, 10]. The source of pollution is not only from ordinary microplastics, but fish contaminated with fibre also comes from fishing gear/ropes or nets used by fishermen [7]. Micrometre-sized plastics are easier to digest while nanometers can pass through cell membranes [15]. More than 690 contaminated marine species have been detected in their digestive tract and represent possible routes of exposure to humans [5, 24].

Fish is very beneficial for pregnant women and fetal growth. Fish contribute 180 kcal per person per day of energy in food. Fish contains protein, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), fats (omega-3, fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid/DHA), some vitamins, and minerals that essential for fetuses and infants neurodevelopment [25].

Various colours, shapes and sizes of microplastics were detected in all fish muscle samples. estimated mean of microplastic intake through fish muscles of white shrimp, Groper, yellow tail fish, and Barracuda consumption was 555, 240, 233, and 169 items/300 g-week. Fish has higher microplastic content in muscles [1]. The density of most microplastics is higher than seawater (1.02 g/cm³) and settles in sediments [2]. The purpose of this study is to describe the presence of microplastics in the faeces of pregnant women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and research design

This research was conducted in the working area of Public health center Pattingalloang and Jumpandang Baru, Makassar City. The research was conducted from June to August 2020. This type of research is observational descriptive.

Sample

There were thirty pregnant women who were involved in this study. They are pregnant women who visit Public health center Pattingalloang and Jumpandang Baru, Makassar City. They were involved with several inclusion criteria, that is

- Respondents were pregnant women who visited the Public health center
- Respondents are domiciled in Makassar City
- Respondents from the beginning of their pregnancy checked themselves at the Public health center Pattingalloang and Jumpandang Baru

Data collection

The data collection method in this study is an interview with a questionnaire. Examination of stool samples is carried out in the laboratory.

Data analysis

The data analysis used in this research is descriptive analytic data analysis, which is to describe

the amount of seafood consumed, and the microplastics found in the feces of pregnant women.

RESULTS

Respondent Characteristics

Table-1: Distribution of Pregnant Women based on Characteristics in Pattingalloang Village and Ujung Pandang Village, Makassar City in 2020

Variable	amount	
	n	%
Age (Years)		
20	5	16.7
20 - 30	15	50
30 - 35	5	16.7
35 - 40	4	13.3
40 - 45	1	3.3
Total	30	100
Gestational Age		
1st trimester	11	36.7
2nd trimester	17	56.7
3rd trimester	2	6.6
Total	30	100

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the respondents based on age and gestational age. Most respondents were 20-30 years old (50%), and the lowest was 40-45 years (3.3%). Furthermore, most respondents had a gestational age of the second trimester (56.7%), followed by third-trimester gestation age (6.6%) and first pregnancy age (36.7%).

Microplastics and Seafood Consumption

Table-2: Distribution of Pregnant Women Based on the Amount of Consumption and Type of Seafood Consumed in Pattingalloang and Ujung Pandang Villages, Makassar City in 2020

Variable	Amount	
	n	%
Amount of seafood consumption		
High	13	43.3
Moderate	7	23.3
Low	10	33.3
Total	30	100
The type of seafood that is often consumed		
Big fish	5	17
Small fish	18	60
Not Fish	7	23
Total	30	100
Number of microplastics		
< 12	18	60
> 12	12	40
Total	30	100

Table 2 shows the variables of the amount of seafood consumption, the type of seafood and the number of microplastics. More respondents have high

seafood consumption (> 12 ounces/mg) in a week (43.3%) than low consumption (33.3%) and moderate consumption (23.3%). The type of seafood most frequently consumed by respondents in a week is small fish (60%), followed by shrimp and squid (23.3%) and big fish (16.7%). The highest number of microplastics found in the feces of pregnant women was < 12 (60%) and > 12 (40%).

DISCUSSION

Microplastics are plastic particles < 5 mm in size found in the feces of pregnant women. One of the causes of microplastics found in the feces of pregnant women is the consumption of seafood contaminated by plastic waste. Microplastics were found in thirty stool samples ranging from 5-21 microplastics.

Seafood is a significant source of omega-3 fatty acids which are essential for optimal neurodevelopment. However, a study conducted by Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) using the longitudinal method suggested that pregnant women should limit their fish intake to only 340 grams every week [12]. This research is in line with recommendations from the FDA EPA that pregnant women consume seafood in a week of between 8 and 12 ounces per week. A study by Avio *et al.* [3] stated that microplastics absorb toxins produced from chemicals in seawater and the surrounding environment and can be transferred into the food chain indirectly. This result is in line with research Rochman *et al.* (2015) [22] found that the accumulation of microplastics in the sediment also make the biota consume microplastics directly. If humans consume contaminated biota, the microplastics will again enter the human body.

The results of research by Rochman *et al.* [22] at the Makassar Paotere fish Auction show that microplastics are found in various types of fish, including Mackerel, Flying fish, Herring, Carangidae and Baronang fish. Research by Devriese *et al.* [6] on shrimp explained that synthetic fibres dominated microplastics with a concentration of 1.23 ± 0.99 microplastics per shrimp. The same thing was also conveyed in the research of Margaretha [16], which identified microplastics in squid samples obtained from markets in Semarang. The results were particles/gram of wet weight found 3.31-3.88 and 0.77-0 microplastics with fibre and fragment types.

Humans are potentially exposed to microplastics through food, drink and air (9). A number of studies have shown that microplastics can pass through the food chain and then enter the human body. Consumption of seafood is one possible pathway for exposure to microplastics in humans [24].

A research by Schwab *et al.* [23] detecting microplastics in human feces found that 8 samples of

human faeces were analyzed by FTIR, all containing microplastics ranging in size from 50-500 μm . Most of the microplastics identified were in the form of fragments and films. The results of this study on thirty samples of feces of pregnant women in the working area of Public health center Pattingalloang and Jumpandang Baru found that all samples contained microplastics with sizes ranging from 0.2 - 4.9 mm.

CONCLUSION

Based on the research results, it can be concluded that microplastics were found in all stool samples of pregnant women. Microplastics were found from thirty stool samples ranging from 5-21 microplastics with the types of fibre, fragments and films. The length of the microplastics varies from 0.2 - 4.9 mm. The kinds of seafood consumed by the respondents were large fish (tuna and skipjack), small fish (fly, mackerel and anchovies), and non-fish (squid and shrimp).

Things that need to be done are to change habits by reducing, reusing and recycling plastics gradually by avoiding using or purchasing single-use plastic products in everyday life encouraging the role of government through education and regulation. Carrying out beach clean-up activities can increase public awareness to protect the marine environment by not littering.

REFERENCES

1. Akhbarizadeh, R., Moore, F., & Keshavarzi B. (2018). Investigating a Probable Relationship Between Microplastics and Potentially Toxic Elements in Fish Muscles from Northeast of Persian Gulf. *Environmental Pollution*, 232:54–63.
2. Alomar, C., Estarellas, F., & Deudero, S. (2016). Microplastics in the Mediterranean Sea: deposition in coastal shallow sediments, spatial variation and preferential grain size. *Marine environmental research*, 115, 1-10.
3. Avio, C. G., Gorbi, S., Milan, M., Benedetti, M., Fattorini, D., d'Errico, G., & Regoli, F. (2015). Pollutants bioavailability and toxicological risk from microplastics to marine mussels. *Environmental Pollution*, 198, 211-222.
4. Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., & Klages, M. (2015). *Marine anthropogenic litter* (p. 447). Springer Nature.
5. Carbery, M., O'Connor, W., & Palanisami, T. (2018). Trophic transfer of microplastics and mixed contaminants in the marine food web and implications for human health. *Environment international*, 115, 400-409.
6. Devriese, L. I., Van der Meulen, M. D., Maes, T., Bekaert, K., Paul-Pont, I., Frère, L., & Vethaak, A. D. (2015). Microplastic contamination in brown shrimp (*Crangon crangon*, Linnaeus 1758) from coastal waters of the Southern North Sea and Channel area. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 98(1-2),

- 179-187.
7. Dowarah, K., & Devipriya, S. P. (2019). Microplastic prevalence in the beaches of Puducherry, India and its correlation with fishing and tourism/recreational activities. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 148, 123-133.
 8. EPA. (2019). *Advice About Eating Fish*. Food & Drug Administration. Environmental Protection Agency.
 9. Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L. C., Carson, H. S., Thiel, M., Moore, C. J., Borerro, J. C., & Reisser, J. (2014). Plastic pollution in the world's oceans: more than 5 trillion plastic pieces weighing over 250,000 tons afloat at sea. *PloS one*, 9(12), e111913.
 10. Espinosa C., García B.J.M., Esteban M.A. & Cuesta A. (2018). In Vitro Effects of Virgin Microplastics on Fish Head-Kidney Leucocyte Activities. *Environmental Pollution*. 235:39-43.
 11. Heather, W., Alexander, J., Lars, B., Margherita, B., Sandra, C., Bruce, C., & Michael, D. (2016). Presence of Microplastics and Nanoplastics in Food, with Particular Focus on Seafood. *European Food Safety Authority Journal*, 14(6).
 12. Hibbeln, J. R., Davis, J. M., Steer, C., Emmett, P., Rogers, I., Williams, C., & Golding, J. (2007). Maternal seafood consumption in pregnancy and neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood (ALSPAC study): an observational cohort study. *The Lancet*, 369(9561), 578-585.
 13. Horton, A. A., Jürgens, M. D., Lahive, E., van Bodegom, P. M., & Vijver, M. G. (2018). The influence of exposure and physiology on microplastic ingestion by the freshwater fish *Rutilus rutilus* (roach) in the River Thames, UK. *Environmental Pollution*, 236, 188-194.
 14. Kershaw, P., Turra, A., & Galgani, F. (2019). Guidelines for the Monitoring and Assessment of Plastic Litter in the Ocean. *GESAMP reports and studies*.
 15. Lusher, A. L., Mchugh, M., & Thompson, R. C. (2013). Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 67(1-2), 94-99.
 16. Margaretha, A. (2019). *Identifikasi mikroplastik Pada Cumi-cumi (Logilo sp) dari Beberapa Pasar Tradisional Kota Semarang*. Indonesia.
 17. Mintenig, S. M., Int-Veen, I., Löder, M. G., Primpke, S., & Gerdt, G. (2017). Identification of microplastic in effluents of waste water treatment plants using focal plane array-based micro-Fourier-transform infrared imaging. *Water research*, 108, 365-372.
 18. Nabizadeh, R., Sajadi, M., Rastkari, N., & Yaghmaeian, K. (2019). Microplastic pollution on the Persian Gulf shoreline: A case study of Bandar Abbas city, Hormozgan Province, Iran. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 145, 536-546.
 19. Pace, E. Di. (1990). Proceedings of the International Conference on Water Pollution Control in the Basin of the River Danube. *Water Science and Technology*. 22.
 20. Plastics, E. (2016). *An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data*. Plastics-the Facts.
 21. Plastics, E. (2019). *An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data*. Plastics-the Facts.
 22. Rochman, C. M., Tahir, A., Williams, S. L., Baxa, D. V., Lam, R., Miller, J. T., ... & Teh, S. J. (2015). Anthropogenic debris in seafood: Plastic debris and fibers from textiles in fish and bivalves sold for human consumption. *Scientific reports*, 5, 14340.
 23. Liebmann, B., Köppel, S., Königshofer, P., Bucsics, T., Reiberger, T., & Schwabl, P. (2018). Assessment of microplastic concentrations in human stool: Final results of a prospective study. *Environment Agency Austria*.
 24. Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental pollution*, 185, 77-83.
 25. Susanto, E., & Fahmi, A. S. (2012). Senyawa fungsional dari ikan: aplikasinya dalam pangan. *Jurnal Aplikasi Teknologi Pangan*, 1(4).
 26. Vianello, A., Jensen, R. L., Liu, L., & Vollertsen, J. (2019). Simulating human exposure to indoor airborne microplastics using a Breathing Thermal Manikin. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), 1-11.
 27. Wright, S.L., & Kelly F.J. (2017). Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue? *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(12):34-47.

Microplastic Identification in the Faeces of Pregnant Women

ORIGINALITY REPORT

18%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

15%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

easypublisher.com

Internet Source

2%

2

www.bioflux.com.ro

Internet Source

2%

3

Razegheh Akhbarizadeh, Farid Moore, Behnam Keshavarzi. "Investigating a probable relationship between microplastics and potentially toxic elements in fish muscles from northeast of Persian Gulf", Environmental Pollution, 2018

Publication

1%

4

Submitted to Ateneo de Davao University

Student Paper

1%

5

journal.trunojoyo.ac.id

Internet Source

1%

6

Francisca Ribeiro, Elvis D. Okoffo, Jake W. O'Brien, Sarah Fraissinet-Tachet et al. "Quantitative Analysis of Selected Plastics in High-Commercial-Value Australian Seafood by Pyrolysis Gas Chromatography Mass

1%

Spectrometry", Environmental Science & Technology, 2020

Publication

7	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	1 %
8	"20th International Congress of Nutrition: Granada, Spain, September 15-20, 2013", Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 2013 Publication	1 %
9	Xiaodan Lv, Chunyi Guan, Ying Li, Xing Su, Lu Zhang, Xueqin Wang, Xu Ma, hongfei Xia. "Effects of single transplantation and multiple transplantation of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells on the recovery of ovarian function in the treatment of premature ovarian failure in mice", Research Square, 2021 Publication	1 %
10	balimedikajurnal.com Internet Source	1 %
11	vbn.aau.dk Internet Source	1 %
12	www.abc.net.au Internet Source	1 %
13	Mingyi Sui, Yanjia Lu, Qi Wang, Liping Hu, Xiaoting Huang, Xiaoshou Liu. "Distribution patterns of microplastics in various tissues of	<1 %

the Zhikong scallop (*Chlamys farreri*) and in the surrounding culture seawater", *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 2020

Publication

14

www.e3s-conferences.org

Internet Source

<1 %

15

www.maxihealth.com

Internet Source

<1 %

16

backend.orbit.dtu.dk

Internet Source

<1 %

17

journal.unesa.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

18

naasindia.org

Internet Source

<1 %

19

Assan Gnoumou, Raymond Nana, Edith Marie Sylvie Daboué, Amadé Ouédraogo.

"Evaluation of the Ex-situ Reproductive

Capacity of *Flemingia faginea* (Guill.& Perr.)

Baker, a Species of Medicinal Value in Burkina

Faso", *Journal of Agricultural Studies*, 2021

Publication

<1 %

20

Ishrat Vasi Shaikh, Vasi Ahmed Ebrahim Shaikh. "A comprehensive review on

assessment of plastic debris in aquatic

environment and its prevalence in fishes and

<1 %

other aquatic animals in India", Science of The Total Environment, 2021

Publication

21

[Marine Anthropogenic Litter, 2015.](#)

Publication

<1 %

22

[Nurul Ulfiana Sahlan, Burhanuddin Bahar, Ansariadi. "The Effect of Purple Sweet Potatoes and Sting Ray Treatments on The Nutritional Status of the Babies Aged 6-11 Months in Pattalassang Health Center, Takalar Regency In 2015", Proceedings of the International Conference on Healthcare Service Management 2018 - ICHSM '18, 2018](#)

Publication

<1 %

23

journal.unhas.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

24

link.springer.com

Internet Source

<1 %

25

plasticoceans.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

26

upcommons.upc.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

27

wedocs.unep.org

Internet Source

<1 %

28

www.ieep.eu

Internet Source

<1 %

29

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

<1 %

30

Inneke Hantoro, Ansje J. Löhr, Frank G. A. J. Van Belleghem, Budi Widianarko, Ad M. J. Ragas. "Microplastics in coastal areas and seafood: implications for food safety", Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 2019

Publication

<1 %

31

onlinelibrary.wiley.com

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 5 words

Exclude bibliography On