



Yusring Sanusi Baso <yusring@unhas.ac.id>

Publishing Agreement completed for your article [GACETA_2063]

1 message

Elsevier - Author Forms <Article_Status@elsevier.com>
To: yusring@unhas.ac.id
Cc: yusring@unhas.ac.id, pmc@agri.unhas.ac.id

Mon, Oct 25, 2021 at 3:01 PM

ELSEVIER

Dear Dr. Baso,

Thank you for completing the Publishing Agreement Form for your article *Continuing Medical Education for General Practitioners in Indonesia: Moving Toward Accountability*. Please find attached a copy of the "Journal Publishing (License) Agreement" which you completed online on October 25, 2021.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. To help us assist you, please quote our article reference GACETA2063 in all correspondence.

Now that your article has been accepted, you will want to maximize the impact of your work. Elsevier facilitates and encourages authors to share their article responsibly. To learn about the many ways in which you can share your article whilst respecting copyright, visit: www.elsevier.com/sharing-articles.

We are committed to publishing your article as quickly as possible.

Kind regards,
Elsevier Researcher Support

Have questions or need assistance?

Please do not reply to this automated message.

For further assistance, please visit our [Elsevier Support Center](#) where you search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

You can also talk to our researcher support team by phone 24 hours a day from Monday-Friday and 24/7 by live chat and email.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd | [Privacy Policy](http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy) <http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy>

Elsevier Limited, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084. This e-mail has been sent to you from Elsevier Ltd. To ensure delivery to your inbox (not bulk or junk folders), please add article_status@elsevier.com to your address book or safe senders list.



 **GACETA2063.html**
20K

Rights and Access form completed for your article [GACETA_2063]

1 message

Elsevier - Author Forms <Article_Status@elsevier.com>
To: yusring@unhas.ac.id

Mon, Oct 25, 2021 at 3:01 PM

ELSEVIER

Dear Dr. Baso,

Thank you for completing the Rights and Access Form for your article *Continuing Medical Education for General Practitioners in Indonesia: Moving Toward Accountability* on October 25, 2021.


The Order Summary is attached to this email.

Your article will be free for everyone to read online as soon as it is published.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. To help us assist you, please quote our article reference GACETA2063 in all correspondence.

Now that your article has been accepted, you will want to maximize the impact of your work. Elsevier facilitates and encourages authors to share their article responsibly. To learn about the many ways in which you can share your article whilst respecting copyright, visit: www.elsevier.com/sharing-articles.

Kind regards,
Elsevier Researcher Support



Seven strategies for you to create a brand and promote your research

Learn how to give your research the visibility it deserves with these seven strategies.

> [Access module now](#)

Have questions or need assistance?

Please do not reply to this automated message.

For further assistance, please visit our [Elsevier Support Center](#) where you search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

You can also talk to our researcher support team by phone 24 hours a day from Monday-Friday and 24/7 by live chat and email.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd | [Privacy Policy](http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy)


Elsevier Limited, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084. This e-mail has been sent to you from Elsevier Ltd. To ensure delivery to your inbox (not bulk or junk folders), please add article_status@elsevier.com to your address book or safe senders list.



Order Confirmation.html

12K

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

 ELSEVIER	Journal: GACETA	Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to:
	Article Number: 2063	E-mail:

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult <http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
Q1	Please confirm that given name and surname are correctly identified. The different colors indicate whether tagged as first or last name. Please note that proper identification is key for correct indexing of the article.
Q2	Correctly acknowledging the primary funders and grant IDs of your research is important to ensure compliance with funder policies. We could not find any acknowledgement of funding sources in your text. Is this correct?
Q3	Please note that Tables 1–8 were not cited in the text. Please check that the citations suggested by the copyeditor are in the appropriate place, and correct if necessary.
Q4	Please check the citation of Tables 1-8.
Q5	As per the reference style of this journal, the names of the first three authors must be present before 'et al.' Therefore, please provide the names of the first three authors in the following references and retain 'et al.' for subsequent author names, or delete the phrase 'et al.' in references [3, 4, 9].
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; margin-top: 20px;"> Please check this box or indicate your approval if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file <input style="float: right; margin-left: 20px;" type="checkbox"/> </div>

Thank you for your assistance.

Continuing medical education for general practitioners in Indonesia: Moving toward accountability

Yusring Sanusi Baso^{a,*}, Amir Syafruddin^b, Abraham Andi Padlan^c, Muhammad Akbar^d

^a Center for Media, Learning Resources, and E-Learning, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

^b Departmen of Medical Education Unit, Medical and Health Faculty, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia

^c Indonesian General Practitioners Association, Indonesia

^d Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 June 2021

Accepted 30 July 2021

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Continuing medical education

Online module

General practitioners

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of an online continuing medical education model on the learning outcomes of general practitioners (GPs) in Indonesia.

Methods: The method used in this research is a pre-experimental pre-test, post-test group design. 1449 GPs originating from the major islands of Indonesia participated in this study. One hundred fifty questions from 10 disease systems were prepared for the pre-test and post-test with a total score of 100. The results of the pre-test and post-test were tested with paired sample *t*-test and Independent *t*-test.

Results: The paired sample *t*-test revealed significant differences in the learning outcomes of GPs before and following the online module's continuing medical education approach. What is remarkable is the disparity in average post-test results between GPs in West and East Indonesia. The independent *t*-test revealed that the average post-test score of general practitioners in western Indonesia was higher than that of general practitioners in eastern Indonesia.

Conclusion: The findings of this study suggest that continuing medical education in the form of online learning can help general practitioners enhance their knowledge and abilities in disease management. This paradigm of online continuing medical education could be adapted for other professions.

© 2021 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The issue of health care employees' continual education is not new. In the 1980s, America debated the importance of ongoing education, particularly for nurses.¹ In the field of radiography, continuing education is also not a new issue. The half-life of health sciences professionals' knowledge has been estimated to be between 2 and 5 years. All health professionals are responsible for maintaining an up-to-date body of knowledge and skills to practice efficiently.²

Physicians must also enhance their knowledge and skills in medical physics, particularly in the use of cutting-edge medical technology equipment.³ In a unique situation, research has been conducted on the level of knowledge of general practitioners on hypertension prevention in China. Continuing education in hypertension is critical to ensuring that general practitioners are informed and follow national hypertension prevention guidelines.⁴

The facts and data that have been described previously can be concluded that continuing medical education is essential. So, one of the problems that must be answered is an effective continuing medical education model for general practitioners. Continuing

medical education that is widely used today is an online learning model.

Due to the coronavirus epidemic, this trend has been accelerated, either temporarily or permanently.⁵ Online continuing professional education classes enable healthcare professionals to learn about their schedules and issues pertinent to their professional practice, allowing for just-in-time learning. Healthcare practitioners choose web-based education courses because they are self-paced and can be studied from any location at any time.⁶ The negative is that when such interactions are absent from a course, a student's drive dwindles. Additionally, evaluations in areas requiring applied skills are challenging to conduct online.⁷ In addition, with continuing professional education (CPE) available at any time and practically anywhere, web-based learning for professional development has a lot to offer self-directed self-education.⁸

The engagement of GPs significantly aided in the creation of the online intervention. The usability assessment demonstrated that the intervention's material was appropriate, readily available, engaging, and provided at an appropriate degree of intensity, validating the development strategy used.⁹ Availability of materials or cases of the disease is only one of them. In addition, technical matters of online learning services, such as internet access, need to be considered.¹⁰

In line with the exposure of the positive effects of continuous learning through online learning, the Indonesian General Practitioners Association (PDUI) has designed a continuing medical education model for its members, general practitioners. Based on

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 3rd International Nursing, Health Science Students & Health Care Professionals Conference. Full-text and the content of it is under responsibility of authors of the article.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yusring@unhas.ac.id, pmc@agri.unhas.ac.id (Y.S. Baso).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2021.07.008>

0213-9111/© 2021 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Table 1
Participants.

Islands	Invited	Participated	%
Java	1535	495	34.16
Sulawesi	886	242	16.70
Kalimantan	683	248	17.12
Other Islands	884	26	1.79
Bali	935	166	11.46
Sumatera	541	272	18.77
TOTAL GPs	5464	1449	26.52

the explanation in the introduction and literature review, the two research questions to be answered are:

1. Does the continuous medical education approach in online courses increase GPs knowledge and abilities in addressing ten cases of disease?
2. Are there any differences in post-test learning outcomes between GPs who live in the nation's capital city (Java) and GPs who live outside Java?

Methods

Participants

The researchers utilized pre-experimental pre-test post-test group design. Participants involved as samples in this study were GPs who lived in Jakarta, the capital of Indonesia, and who lived live outside Java.

Data collection

The data collection will be based on the pre-test and post-test findings, which can be found at <https://modul.pdui.org>.

Data analysis

The data will be analyzed in stages, beginning with descriptive analysis and continuing with a normality test and paired sample *t*-test to see if the scores are normally distributed. Additionally, before doing the independent *t*-test, the data will be verified for homogeneity.

Result

Participants

5464 general practitioners registered with the PDUI. The research team included Indonesia's largest islands, including Java, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Sumatra, Bali, and smaller islands. Only 1449 of GPs, meet the criterion for being used as research samples.

The following table details the total number of GPs involved (Table 1).

Table 2
Descriptive statistic.

Descriptive statistics	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation	Skewness	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. error
Pre-test	1449	36.8	61.3	48.999	9.9535	0.01	0.064
Post-test	1449	61.3	100	83.778	9.0786	-0.005	0.064
Valid N (listwise)	1449						

Table 3
Pre-test frequency.

	Scores	Frequency	Percent	Valid percent	Cumulative percent
Valid	36.8	480	33.1	33.1	33.1
	49.0	493	34.0	34.0	67.1
	61.3	476	32.9	32.9	100.0
	Total	1449	100.0	100.0	

Table 4
Post-test frequency.

	Scores	Frequency	Percent	Valid percent	Cumulative percent
Valid	61.3	65	4.5	4.5	4.5
	70.0	55	3.8	3.8	8.3
	80.0	802	55.3	55.3	63.6
	90.0	330	22.8	22.8	86.4
	100.0	197	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	1449	100.0	100.0	

Table 5
Paired samples statistics.

Pair 1		Mean	N	Std. deviation	Std. error mean
		Pre-test	48.999	1449	9.9535
	Post-test	83.778	1449	9.0786	0.2385

Modules

PDUI prepared 10 modules, namely Bell's Palsy disease system, tension headache, diabetes mellitus, typhoid fever, bronchial asthma, hypertension, dengue fever, dyslipidemia, tuberculosis, and dermatitis. PDUI provides 15 cases for each disease system. One hundred and fifty questions were prepared for the pre-test and post-test with a total score of 100.

Pre-test and post-test result

Based on Skewness, which is 0.07, the findings of this post-test score can be deemed to be normally distributed (Table 2).

As can be shown, GPs achieve a score between 36,8 and 61.3. The average proportion is not far off and typically falls within the range of more than 30%. Therefore, 49.0 is the modus score (Table 3).

The following table summarizes the frequency of post-test outcomes. The score appears to be 61.3–100. Therefore, 80.0 is the modus score (Table 4).

Discussion

The following table of paired sample statistics shows that the post-test score is higher than the pre-test score, provides a temporary conclusion that continuing medical education through online modules affects GPs learning outcomes (Tables 5 and 6).

The significance of continuing medical education through the online module will be seen in the following paired sample *t*-test results.

The paired sample *t*-test shows that the sig. (two-tailed) is $0.000 < 0.05$, which indicates there is a difference between the pre-test and post-test mean. In other words, continuing medical

Table 6
Paired samples *t*-test.

		Paired differences				<i>t</i>	df	Sig. (two-tailed)	
		Mean	Std. deviation	Std. error mean	95% Confidence interval of the difference				
					Lower				Upper
Pair 1	Pre-test–post-test	–34.7790	12.2324	0.3214	–35.4093	–34.1486	–108.228	1448	0.000

Table 7
Group statistics.

Indonesia		N	Mean	Std. deviation	Std. error mean
Learning outcomes	Post-test West Indonesia	767	84.913	9.0384	0.3264
	Post-test East Indonesia	682	82.501	8.9598	0.3431

Table 8
Independent *t*-test.

		Levene's test for equality of variances		<i>t</i> -Test for equality of means		Sig. (two-tailed)	Mean difference	Std. error difference	95% confidence interval of the difference	
		F	Sig.	<i>t</i>	df				Lower	Upper
		Learning outcomes	Equal variances assumed	10.835	0.001				5091	1447
Equal variances not assumed				5.094	1430.045	0.000	2.4121	0.4735	1.4832	3.3410

education through online modules affects increasing knowledge and skills of GPs (Table 7).

According to the independent *t*-test, sig. (two-tailed) is $0.000 < 0.05$. Thus, significant inequalities in learning outcomes exist across GPs from western and eastern Indonesia (Table 8).

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that continuing medical education in the form of online learning can help general practitioners enhance their knowledge and abilities in disease management. This paradigm of online continuing medical education could be adapted for other professions.

Conflicts of interests


The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Rizzuto C. Mandatory continuing education: cost versus benefit. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 1982;13:37–43.

- Field N. Mandatory continuing professional education: do we need it? *Radiographer*. 2004;51:5–9.
- Thi Nguyen VA, et al. Attracting and retaining physicians in less attractive specialties: the role of continuing medical education. *Hum Resour Health*. 2021;19:1–12.
- Chen Q, et al. General practitioners' hypertension knowledge and training needs: a survey in Xuhui district, Shanghai. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2013;14:16.
- Cutri RM, Mena J. A critical reconceptualization of faculty readiness for online teaching. *Distance Educ*. 2020;41:361–80.
- Pullen DL. An evaluative case study of online learning for healthcare professionals. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 2006;37:225–32.
- Portuguez Castro M, Gómez Zermeño MG. Challenge based learning: innovative pedagogy for sustainability through e-learning in higher education. *Sustain*. 2020;12.
- Brace-Govan J, Gabbott M. General practitioners and online continuing professional education: projected understandings. *Educ Technol Soc*. 2004;7:51–62.
- French B, et al. Development and evaluation of an online education tool on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder for general practitioners: the important contribution of co-production. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21:1–11.
- Wenczenovicz TJ. Distance learning, face to face difficulties: perspectives in times of Covid-19. *Rev Ibero-Americana Estud Em Educ*. 2020;15:1750–67.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

 ELSEVIER	Journal: GACETA	Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to:
	Article Number: 2063	E-mail:

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult <http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
Q1	Please confirm that given name and surname are correctly identified. The different colors indicate whether tagged as first or last name. Please note that proper identification is key for correct indexing of the article.
Q2	Correctly acknowledging the primary funders and grant IDs of your research is important to ensure compliance with funder policies. We could not find any acknowledgement of funding sources in your text. Is this correct?
Q3	Please note that Tables 1–8 were not cited in the text. Please check that the citations suggested by the copyeditor are in the appropriate place, and correct if necessary.
Q4	Please check the citation of Tables 1-8.
Q5	As per the reference style of this journal, the names of the first three authors must be present before 'et al.' Therefore, please provide the names of the first three authors in the following references and retain 'et al.' for subsequent author names, or delete the phrase 'et al.' in references [3, 4, 9].
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; margin-top: 20px;"> Please check this box or indicate your approval if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file <input style="float: right; margin-left: 20px;" type="checkbox"/> </div>

Thank you for your assistance.

Continuing medical education for general practitioners in Indonesia: Moving toward accountability

Yusring Sanusi Baso^{a,*}, Amir Syafruddin^b, Abraham Andi Padlan^c, Muhammad Akbar^d

^a Center for Media, Learning Resources, and E-Learning, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

^b Department of Medical Education Unit, Medical and Health Faculty, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia

^c Indonesian General Practitioners Association, Indonesia

^d Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 June 2021

Accepted 30 July 2021

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Continuing medical education

Online module

General practitioners

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of an online continuing medical education model on the learning outcomes of general practitioners (GPs) in Indonesia.

Methods: The method used in this research is a pre-experimental pre-test, post-test group design. 1449 GPs originating from the major islands of Indonesia participated in this study. One hundred fifty questions from 10 disease systems were prepared for the pre-test and post-test with a total score of 100. The results of the pre-test and post-test were tested with paired sample *t*-test and Independent *t*-test.

Results: The paired sample *t*-test revealed significant differences in the learning outcomes of GPs before and following the online module's continuing medical education approach. What is remarkable is the disparity in average post-test results between GPs in West and East Indonesia. The independent *t*-test revealed that the average post-test score of general practitioners in western Indonesia was higher than that of general practitioners in eastern Indonesia.

Conclusion: The findings of this study suggest that continuing medical education in the form of online learning can help general practitioners enhance their knowledge and abilities in disease management. This paradigm of online continuing medical education could be adapted for other professions.

© 2021 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The issue of health care employees' continual education is not new. In the 1980s, America debated the importance of ongoing education, particularly for nurses.¹ In the field of radiography, continuing education is also not a new issue. The half-life of health sciences professionals' knowledge has been estimated to be between 2 and 5 years. All health professionals are responsible for maintaining an up-to-date body of knowledge and skills to practice efficiently.²

Physicians must also enhance their knowledge and skills in medical physics, particularly in the use of cutting-edge medical technology equipment.³ In a unique situation, research has been conducted on the level of knowledge of general practitioners on hypertension prevention in China. Continuing education in hypertension is critical to ensuring that general practitioners are informed and follow national hypertension prevention guidelines.⁴

The facts and data that have been described previously can be concluded that continuing medical education is essential. So, one of the problems that must be answered is an effective continuing medical education model for general practitioners. Continuing

medical education that is widely used today is an online learning model.

Due to the coronavirus epidemic, this trend has been accelerated, either temporarily or permanently.⁵ Online continuing professional education classes enable healthcare professionals to learn about their schedules and issues pertinent to their professional practice, allowing for just-in-time learning. Healthcare practitioners choose web-based education courses because they are self-paced and can be studied from any location at any time.⁶ The negative is that when such interactions are absent from a course, a student's drive dwindles. Additionally, evaluations in areas requiring applied skills are challenging to conduct online.⁷ In addition, with continuing professional education (CPE) available at any time and practically anywhere, web-based learning for professional development has a lot to offer self-directed self-education.⁸

The engagement of GPs significantly aided in the creation of the online intervention. The usability assessment demonstrated that the intervention's material was appropriate, readily available, engaging, and provided at an appropriate degree of intensity, validating the development strategy used.⁹ Availability of materials or cases of the disease is only one of them. In addition, technical matters of online learning services, such as internet access, need to be considered.¹⁰

In line with the exposure of the positive effects of continuous learning through online learning, the Indonesian General Practitioners Association (PDUI) has designed a continuing medical education model for its members, general practitioners. Based on

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 3rd International Nursing, Health Science Students & Health Care Professionals Conference. Full-text and the content of it is under responsibility of authors of the article.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yusring@unhas.ac.id, pmc@agri.unhas.ac.id (Y.S. Baso).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2021.07.008>

0213-9111/© 2021 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Table 1
Participants.

Islands	Invited	Participated	%
Java	1535	495	34.16
Sulawesi	886	242	16.70
Kalimantan	683	248	17.12
Other Islands	884	26	1.79
Bali	935	166	11.46
Sumatera	541	272	18.77
TOTAL GPs	5464	1449	26.52

the explanation in the introduction and literature review, the two research questions to be answered are:

1. Does the continuous medical education approach in online courses increase GPs knowledge and abilities in addressing ten cases of disease?
2. Are there any differences in post-test learning outcomes between GPs who live in the nation's capital city (Java) and GPs who live outside Java?

Methods

Participants

The researchers utilized pre-experimental pre-test post-test group design. Participants involved as samples in this study were GPs who lived in Jakarta, the capital of Indonesia, and who lived live outside Java.

Data collection

The data collection will be based on the pre-test and post-test findings, which can be found at <https://modul.pdui.org>.

Data analysis

The data will be analyzed in stages, beginning with descriptive analysis and continuing with a normality test and paired sample *t*-test to see if the scores are normally distributed. Additionally, before doing the independent *t*-test, the data will be verified for homogeneity.

Result

Participants

5464 general practitioners registered with the PDUI. The research team included Indonesia's largest islands, including Java, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Sumatra, Bali, and smaller islands. Only 1449 of GPs, meet the criterion for being used as research samples.

The following table details the total number of GPs involved (Table 1).

Table 2
Descriptive statistic.

Descriptive statistics	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation	Skewness	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. error
Pre-test	1449	36.8	61.3	48.999	9.9535	0.01	0.064
Post-test	1449	61.3	100	83.778	9.0786	-0.005	0.064
Valid N (listwise)	1449						

Table 3
Pre-test frequency.

	Scores	Frequency	Percent	Valid percent	Cumulative percent
Valid	36.8	480	33.1	33.1	33.1
	49.0	493	34.0	34.0	67.1
	61.3	476	32.9	32.9	100.0
	Total	1449	100.0	100.0	

Table 4
Post-test frequency.

	Scores	Frequency	Percent	Valid percent	Cumulative percent
Valid	61.3	65	4.5	4.5	4.5
	70.0	55	3.8	3.8	8.3
	80.0	802	55.3	55.3	63.6
	90.0	330	22.8	22.8	86.4
	100.0	197	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	1449	100.0	100.0	

Table 5
Paired samples statistics.

Pair 1		Mean	N	Std. deviation	Std. error mean
	Pre-test	48.999	1449	9.9535	0.2615
Post-test	83.778	1449	9.0786	0.2385	

Modules

PDUI prepared 10 modules, namely Bell's Palsy disease system, tension headache, diabetes mellitus, typhoid fever, bronchial asthma, hypertension, dengue fever, dyslipidemia, tuberculosis, and dermatitis. PDUI provides 15 cases for each disease system. One hundred and fifty questions were prepared for the pre-test and post-test with a total score of 100.

Pre-test and post-test result

Based on Skewness, which is 0.07, the findings of this post-test score can be deemed to be normally distributed (Table 2).

As can be shown, GPs achieve a score between 36,8 and 61.3. The average proportion is not far off and typically falls within the range of more than 30%. Therefore, 49.0 is the modus score (Table 3).

The following table summarizes the frequency of post-test outcomes. The score appears to be 61.3–100. Therefore, 80.0 is the modus score (Table 4).

Discussion

The following table of paired sample statistics shows that the post-test score is higher than the pre-test score, provides a temporary conclusion that continuing medical education through online modules affects GPs learning outcomes (Tables 5 and 6).

The significance of continuing medical education through the online module will be seen in the following paired sample *t*-test results.

The paired sample *t*-test shows that the sig. (two-tailed) is $0.000 < 0.05$, which indicates there is a difference between the pre-test and post-test mean. In other words, continuing medical

Table 6
Paired samples *t*-test.

		Paired differences				<i>t</i>	df	Sig. (two-tailed)	
		Mean	Std. deviation	Std. error mean	95% Confidence interval of the difference				
					Lower				Upper
Pair 1	Pre-test–post-test	–34.7790	12.2324	0.3214	–35.4093	–34.1486	–108.228	1448	0.000

Table 7
Group statistics.

Indonesia		N	Mean	Std. deviation	Std. error mean
Learning outcomes	Post-test West Indonesia	767	84.913	9.0384	0.3264
	Post-test East Indonesia	682	82.501	8.9598	0.3431

Table 8
Independent *t*-test.

		Levene's test for equality of variances		<i>t</i> -Test for equality of means		Sig. (two-tailed)	Mean difference	Std. error difference	95% confidence interval of the difference	
		<i>F</i>	Sig.	<i>t</i>	df				Lower	Upper
Learning outcomes	Equal variances assumed	10.835	0.001	5091	1447	0.000	2.4121	0.4738	1.4828	3.3414
	Equal variances not assumed			5.094	1430.045	0.000	2.4121	0.4735	1.4832	3.3410

education through online modules affects increasing knowledge and skills of GPs (Table 7).

According to the independent *t*-test, sig. (two-tailed) is $0.000 < 0.05$. Thus, significant inequalities in learning outcomes exist across GPs from western and eastern Indonesia (Table 8).

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that continuing medical education in the form of online learning can help general practitioners enhance their knowledge and abilities in disease management. This paradigm of online continuing medical education could be adapted for other professions.

Conflicts of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Rizzuto C. Mandatory continuing education: cost versus benefit. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 1982;13:37–43.

- Field N. Mandatory continuing professional education: do we need it? *Radiographer*. 2004;51:5–9.
- Thi Nguyen VA, et al. Attracting and retaining physicians in less attractive specialties: the role of continuing medical education. *Hum Resour Health*. 2021;19:1–12.
- Chen Q, et al. General practitioners' hypertension knowledge and training needs: a survey in Xuhui district, Shanghai. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2013;14:16.
- Cutri RM, Mena J. A critical reconceptualization of faculty readiness for online teaching. *Distance Educ*. 2020;41:361–80.
- Pullen DL. An evaluative case study of online learning for healthcare professionals. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 2006;37:225–32.
- Portuguez Castro M, Gómez Zermeño MG. Challenge based learning: innovative pedagogy for sustainability through e-learning in higher education. *Sustain*. 2020;12.
- Brace-Govan J, Gabbott M. General practitioners and online continuing professional education: projected understandings. *Educ Technol Soc*. 2004;7:51–62.
- French B, et al. Development and evaluation of an online education tool on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder for general practitioners: the important contribution of co-production. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21:1–11.
- Wenczenovicz TJ. Distance learning, face to face difficulties: perspectives in times of Covid-19. *Rev Ibero-Americana Estud Em Educ*. 2020;15:1750–67.



Yusring Sanusi Baso <yusring@unhas.ac.id>

Proofs of [GACETA_2063]

2 messages

corrections.eses@elsevier.thomsondigital.com
<corrections.eses@elsevier.thomsondigital.com>
To: yusring@unhas.ac.id

Wed, Nov 3, 2021 at 2:20
PM

P-authorproof-ESES_Eng_v14(a)

PLEASE DO NOT ALTER THE SUBJECT LINE OF THIS E-MAIL

Dear Author

The proof of your article, to be published in GACETA SANITARIA , is attached to this e-mail as a PDF file. Also attached are instructions on the annotation of PDF files (notas.pdf). A 'Query Form' is also included as the front page of the proof, detailing any questions regarding your article that have arisen during the preparation of the proof.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately; to do this we need your cooperation. Please respond promptly (48 hours), even if you have no corrections: the sooner we hear from you, the sooner your corrected article will appear online. Please note that any delay in returning your corrections could result in a delay in publication and that any significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with the permission of the Editor.

Please note that proof corrections can now be annotated on-screen, which allows you to mark directly in the PDF file, and return the marked file as an e-mail attachment. See the attached instructions for further information.

Alternative methods of returning proof corrections:

If you do not wish to use the PDF annotations function, you may list the corrections (including replies to the Query Form) in an e-mail and return them to us using the 'reply' button to this e-mail. Please list your corrections quoting line number.

If, for any reason, this is not possible, mark the corrections and any other comments (including replies to the Query Form) on a printout of your proof and fax this to the number given below, or scan the pages and return them by e-mail.

We prefer to receive your corrections by e-mail or fax so that we can process your article quickly and efficiently. However, if you wish to return your corrections by post then please contact us and we will provide the full postal address.

Please use this proof for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures.

If you submitted usable colour figures with your article they will appear, at no extra charge, in colour on the web if reproduced in colour in the attached PDF proof of your article. In the printed issue, colour reproduction depends on journal policy and whether or not you agree to bear any costs (not applicable to journals which appear only online). Any 'supplementary' material to your article (i.e., not appearing in print) will be accessible after your corrected article is placed online; such material is not part of the proofing procedure and is therefore not attached here.

Before returning your proof corrections, please ensure that you have answered any questions raised on the Query Form and that you have indicated all corrections: this is the last opportunity to make corrections before the final publication of your article.

Kind regards,

Elsevier

E-mail corrections to: corrections.eses@elsevier.thomsondigital.com

Fax: +34 932 091 136

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://support.elsevier.com>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

2 attachments

 **GACETA_2063.pdf**
121K

 **p-annotatepdf.pdf**
157K

Yusring Sanusi Baso <yusring@unhas.ac.id>
To: corrections.eses@elsevier.thomsondigital.com

Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 12:56 PM

Dear editor,

Q1=ok

Q2=ok

Q3=ok

Q4= Please replace reference number 3,4 and 9 :

Line (142)

3. Könings KD, Scherpier AJ, van Merriënboer JJ. Attracting and retaining physicians in less attractive specialties: the role of continuing medical education. *Hum Resour Health*. 2021;19:1–12.

Line (145)

4. Chen Q, Zhang X, Gu J, Wang T. General practitioners' hypertension knowledge and training needs: a survey in Xuhui district, Shanghai. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2013;14:16.

Line (156)

9. French B, Daley D, Perez Vallejos E, Sayal K. Development and evaluation of an online education tool on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder for general practitioners: the important contribution of co-production. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21:1–11.

Best regard

Authors

[Quoted text hidden]

 **GACETA_2063.pdf**
148K

Comparta su artículo [GACETA_2063] gratuitamente

1 message

Elsevier - Estado de su artículo <article_status@elsevier.com>
To: yusring@unhas.ac.id

Fri, Dec 17, 2021 at 10:36 AM

ELSEVIER

¡Comparta su artículo!

Estimado/a Dr. Baso,

Nos complace informarle de que la versión final de acceso abierto de su artículo *Continuing Medical Education for General Practitioners in Indonesia: Moving Toward Accountability* ya está disponible en línea junto con las referencias bibliográficas completas.

La URL que aparece abajo es una forma fácil y rápida de compartir su trabajo con sus compañeros, co-autores y amigos. Los usuarios que hagan clic en el enlace serán redirigidos a la versión final del artículo en ScienceDirect.



Enlace a su artículo:

<https://authors.elsevier.com/sd/article/S0213911121001874>

Haga clic en los iconos que aparecen abajo para compartirlo con su red:



Puede usar este enlace para descargar una copia del artículo para su propio archivo. También es una forma fácil y rápida de compartir su trabajo con sus compañeros, co-autores y amigos. Y si lo desea, le invitamos a añadirlo a su web o perfil en redes sociales como Facebook, Google+ y Twitter. Otras formas en que puede usar su artículo final han sido determinadas en base a su elección [de licencia de usuario](#).

Para obtener más información acerca de formas adicionales de compartir su artículo, visite www.elsevier.com/sharing-articles.

Atentamente,
Elsevier Researcher Support

Aumente el impacto de su artículo

En la guía "Get Noticed" (Destaque) encontrará una amplia variedad de consejos y sugerencias que le ayudarán a maximizar la visibilidad de su artículo.

¿Tiene alguna pregunta o necesita ayuda?

No responda a este mensaje automatizado.

Para obtener ayuda adicional, visite [Elsevier Support Center](#), donde podrá buscar soluciones a una amplia variedad de temas y encontrar respuestas a las preguntas más frecuentes.

También puede hablar por teléfono con nuestro equipo de asistencia a los investigadores las 24 horas del día, de lunes a viernes, y 24/7 por chat o correo electrónico.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd | **Política de privacidad** <http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy>

Elsevier Limited, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, Reino Unido, Registrada con el n.º 1982084. Este correo electrónico le ha sido remitido de Elsevier Ltd. Para garantizar que llega correctamente a su bandeja de entrada (en lugar de a las carpetas de correo no deseado o SPAM), añada article_status@elsevier.com a su libreta de direcciones o a la lista de remitentes seguros.