

# Factors affecting to the application of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in Bone Regency

*by S N Sirajuddin*

---

**Submission date:** 21-Jul-2021 05:39AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1622112592

**File name:** ajuddin\_Factors\_Affecting\_application\_of\_biosecurity\_in\_Bone.pdf (747.13K)

**Word count:** 2309

**Character count:** 12253

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Factors affecting to the application of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in Bone Regency

To cite this article: V S Lestari *et al* 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **807** 032096

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

## Factors affecting to the application of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in Bone Regency

V S Lestari, D P Rahardja and S N Sirajuddin

Faculty of Animal Science, Hasanuddin University  
Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan Km. 10 Makassar 90245, South Sulawesi Province,  
Indonesia

E-mail: veronicasrilestari@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** The purpose of this research was to know factors affecting to the application of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms. The research was conducted in Bone Regency, South Sulawesi Province. The total sample was 51 beef cattle farmers, which was chosen through purposive sampling. Data consisted of primary and secondary data. Data were collected through observation and interview by using a questionnaire. A multiple regression model was used in this research. The dependent variable was biosecurity practices, while the independent variables were age, gender, education level, household size, farm experience, and flock size. Guttman scale was used to know whether biosecurity practices were applied or not. The results revealed that  $R^2$  was 0.923. Simultaneously, all independent variables were significant in applying biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms ( $P < 0.01$ ). Partially, only the age of respondents and education level were significant ( $P < 0.01$ ).

### 1. Introduction

Demand for meat in Indonesia increases year by year. According to the Ministry of Agriculture, the demand for meat is 700,000 tonnes in 2020, but domestic meat production fulfills only 400,000 tonnes [1]. As a result, Indonesia should import meat and live beef cattle from other countries such as Australia and New Zealand.

Beef cattle productivity in Indonesia is still low. Several factors cause this; one of them is diseases. The Indonesia Government is releasing a program called biosecurity to overcome the spread of diseases. The application of biosafety and biosecurity must be carried out at least in nurseries, cultivation, Animal shelters, Animal markets, Animal slaughterhouses, Animal transportation means, Animal health services, conservation units, and Veterinary Laboratories [2].

According to [3], biosecurity can be described as a strategic and systematic approach to risk analysis and management in the areas of food safety, animal and plant life and health, and bio-safety. It offers a policy and regulatory structure to enhance cooperation and take advantage of sector-wide synergies, strengthen the protection of human, animal, and plant life and health, and promote trade. Reference [4] noted that the three key components of biosecurity measures are separating livestock from the outside world and controlling livestock traffic and sanitation.

The prevention and control within and between farms of endemic pathogens also depends on the implementation of best management practices. However, farmers do not consistently take the prescribed steps or are not enrolled in voluntary programs to control diseases [5]. Reference [6] stated that there



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

are no studies have considered the introduction of biosecurity steps in the everyday management of livestock farms in Belgium. According to [7, 8, 9], the implementation of biosecurity in many Regencies in South Sulawesi Province was medium-level, meaning that not all biosecurity activities were adopted.

The most populous beef cattle in South Sulawesi Province is in Bone Regency, amounted to 470 thousand in 2017. However, there was an Anthrax disease in 2018 [10]. Therefore, the objective of this research was to know factors affecting biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in Bone Regency, South Sulawesi Province.

## 2. Research methods

This research was conducted in the province of Bone Regency, South Sulawesi. A sample of 51 beef cattle farmers was selected by purposeful sampling. The data was composed of primary and secondary information. Data were collected using questionnaires through observation and in-depth interviews. Biosecurity procedures were composed of 34 questions as a dependent variable.

A technical scoring system was developed from the biosecurity indicators (measures), ranging from 0 to 1. A biosecurity measure was coded as 1 if (implemented) this measure is present or 0 if (not implemented) the measure is absent [11]. All the values registered on farms (either 0 or 1 per farm) have been added up to obtain the final score for each metric. The measures were grouped into parts, each corresponding to biosecurity components (isolation, control of traffic, and sanitation). Since the component consists of several measures, to produce the mean score for the component, the individual measures' scores were applied by dividing the average score by the total number of measures within the component.

Age, gender, education level, household size, farm experience and herd size were independent factors. The multiple regression model was used in this analysis to explain variables influencing the implementation of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms.

Data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package (version 23.0, SPSS Inc., USA), and the significance level was fixed as 5%.

The model can be expressed as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + b_6X_6 + e$$

Where:

Y	= biosecurity practices (Y = 1 – apply biosecurity practices; 0 - no apply biosecurity practices)
a	= constant
b <sub>1</sub> , b <sub>2</sub> , b <sub>3</sub> , b <sub>4</sub> , b <sub>5</sub> , b <sub>6</sub>	= coefficient regression for X <sub>1</sub> , X <sub>2</sub> , X <sub>3</sub> , X <sub>4</sub> , X <sub>5</sub> , X <sub>6</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	= gender (men = 1, women = 0)
X <sub>2</sub>	= age (years)
X <sub>3</sub>	= education level (years)
X <sub>4</sub>	= household size (person)
X <sub>5</sub>	= farm experience (years)
X <sub>6</sub>	= herd size (heads)
e	= error

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Characteristics of respondents

Based on table 1, it can be seen that based on gender, most of the respondents consisted of more women rather than men, 58.82% and 41.18% respectively. Based on average age, respondents were in productive age (46.51 years) with the length of education was 9.51 years. Meaning that respondents graduated from Junior High School. Household size on average was 4.33 person. Farm experience of respondents on average was 9.75 years, with herd size on average was 3.47 heads.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of respondents.

Variables	Mean	Min	Max
Gender (men = 41.18%, women = 58.82%)			
Age (years)	46.51	19	75
Education level (years)	9.51	6	16
Household size (person)	4.33	1	10
Farm experiences (years)	9.75	2	25
Herd size (heads)	3.47	1	7

### 3.2. Application of biosecurity practice

The application of biosecurity practices can be seen in table 2.

**Table 2.** Application of biosecurity practices.

Variables	Number of questions	Frequency	Percentage
Isolation	2	66.00	18.49
Sanitation	3	110.00	30.81
Traffic control	2	28.00	7.84
Total	7	204.00	57.14

As shown in table 2, the total percentage of the application of biosecurity was 57.14, and this indicated that respondents were categorized as partial adopters. Sanitation was the highest percentage (30.81%), followed by isolation (18.49%) and traffic control (7.84%), respectively. To increase the percentage of traffic control, it was forbidden for people and other animals to enter the farms by putting the fences.

### 3.3. Multiple Regression Analysis

As shown in table 3, the Coefficient regression for gender was negative 0.679 and was not significant. This was agreed with that of [12], who stated that gender did not affect the level of biosecurity. The coefficient regression of age was positive 0.574 and significant at  $P < 0.01$ . Meaning that every increases the age of respondents by one year, the level of biosecurity practices will increase by 0.504. This research was in line with that of [13], who reported that the age of farmers remained significant predictors of a good biosecurity score.

**Table 3.** Multiple regression analysis.

Variables	Coefficient of regression	t-test	P-value
Gender	-0.679	-0.150	0.882 <sup>ns</sup>
Age	0.574	4.710	0.000*
Education level	2.118	3.822	0.000*
Farm experience	0.003	0.008	0.994 <sup>ns</sup>
Household size	-0.707	-0.721	0.475 <sup>ns</sup>
Herd size	1.743	1.266	0.212 <sup>ns</sup>
R <sup>2</sup> : 0.923			
R : 0.931			
F-test : 110.441			
P-value : 0.000			

\*significant at  $P < 0.01$ , and <sup>ns</sup> not significant.

The coefficient of education level was positive 2.118 and significant at  $P < 0.01$ . Meaning that every increase in one year of education period will affect the level of biosecurity practices by 2.118. This research agrees with that of [14], who stated that education level significantly affected the level of biosecurity adoption in beef cattle farms ( $P < 0.01$ ). However, this was in contrast with that of [12], who argued that education did not affect the level of biosecurity.

Farm experience coefficient regression was positive 0.003 and not significant. This result is contra with that of [15], who found that farm experience had a positive effect on the use of biosecurity measures ( $*P \leq 0.05$ ). Household size coefficient regression was negative 0.707 and not significant. This research was supported by [14]. The number of herd size coefficient regression was positive at 1.743 and not significant. This agrees with that of [12], who stated that herd size did not affect the level of biosecurity. This contrasts with that of [14], who pointed out that herd size significantly affected the level of biosecurity adoption in beef cattle farms. Reference [13] found that the scale of farms significantly influenced the degree of implementation of biosecurity in pig farms.

$R^2$  was 0.923, meaning that 92.3% of the independent variables used in this model determined dependent variable biosecurity practices, while 7.7% was influenced by other variables not included in this model. Based on F-test, the value was 110.441, and it was significant at  $P < 0.01$ .

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the results, it can be concluded that 92.3% percent of the model can be determined by gender, age, education level, farm experience, household size, and herd size. Simultaneously, biosecurity practices were influenced by gender, age, education level, farm experience, household size, and herd size. Partially, only the age and education level of beef cattle farmers influenced biosecurity practices.

#### 4 Acknowledgment

A big appreciation and special thanks to the Ministry of Research and Technology, the Republic of Indonesia for funding this research in 2020.

#### References

- [1] Mahardika W A 2020 Tahun ini Indonesia Impor Daging Sapi Lagi. *Kompas.com*. <https://money.kompas.com/read/2020/01/30/191000026/tahun-ini-indonesia-impor-daging-sapi-lagi>
- [2] Republik Indonesia 2014 Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Tentang Pengendalian dan Penanggulangan Penyakit Hewan Jakarta
- [3] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation 2020 Food Safety and Quality: Biosecurity *fao.org*
- [4] Jeffrey 2006 Biosecurity for poultry flocks. *Poultry Fact Sheet No 26*. [http://www.vetmed.ucdavis.edu/vetext/INFPO\\_Biosecurity](http://www.vetmed.ucdavis.edu/vetext/INFPO_Biosecurity)
- [5] Ritter C, Jansen J, Roche S, Kelton D F, Adams C L, Orsel K, Erskine R J, Benedictus G, Lam T J G M and Barkema H W 2017 Invited review: Determinants of farmers' adoption of management-based strategies for infectious disease prevention and control *Int. J. Dairy Sci.* **100** (5) 3329-3347
- [6] Sarrazin S, Cay A B, Laureyns J and Dewulf J 2014 A survey on biosecurity and management practices in selected Belgian cattle farms *Prev. Vet. Med.* **117**(1) 129– 139
- [7] Lestari V S, Sirajuddin S N and Asnawi A 2014 Biosecurity on cattle farms in Indonesia *European Journal of Sustainable Development* **3**(4) 403-408
- [8] Lestari V S, Prawira D P, Sirajuddin S N 2019 Identification of biosecurity on beef cattle farms *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **247** 012005 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/247/1/012005
- [9] Lestari V S, Sirajuddin S N, Saleh I M and Prahesti K I 2019 Level of biosecurity adoption practices in beef cattle farmers in South Sulawesi Indonesia *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **372** 012024 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/372/1/012024
- [10] Badan Statistik Sulawesi Selatan 2019 *Sulawesi Selatan dalam Angka Makassar*

- [11] Van Steenwinkel S, Ribbens S, Ducheyne E, Goossens E and Dewulf J 2011 Assessing biosecurity practices, movements and densities of poultry sites across Belgium, resulting in different farm risk-groups for infectious disease introduction and spread *Preventive Vet. Med.* **98**(4) 259–270
- [12] Kouam M K and Moussala J O 2018 Assesment of factors influencing the implementation of biosecurity measures on pig farms in the Western Highlands of Cameroon (Central Africa) *Vet Med Int.* **9173646**. doi: 10.1155/2018/9173646
- [13] Awosanya S J 2015 Demographic and management factors associated with biosecurity measure compliance on pig farms in south west Nigeria. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences (P-ISSN 1595-093X/ E-ISSN 2315-6201) *Awosanya/Sokoto J. Vet. Sci.* **13**(2) 34-41
- [14] Lestari V S, Sirajuddin S N, Saleh I M and Prahesti K I 2019 Some factors influencing the level of biosecurity adoption in beef cattle farms *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Environment and Sustainability Issues, ICESI* 18-19 July 2019, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia
- [15] Alalade O A, Olorunfemi O D, Olaoye I J, Ladipo T O and Yusuf A 2018 Assessment of factors influencing biosecurity measures employed by livestock farmers in selected LGAs of Kwara State Nigeria *JOSTMED* **14**(2) 90-100

# Factors affecting to the application of biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in Bone Regency

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

24%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

23%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1

V S Lestari, S N Sirajuddin, I M Saleh, K I Prahesti. "Level of Biosecurity Adoption Practices in Beef Cattle Farmers in South Sulawesi, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019

Publication

7%

2

Veronica Sri Lestari, Djoni Prawira Rahardja, Sitti Nurani Sirajuddin. "Identification of biosecurity on beef cattle farms", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019

Publication

6%

3

V S Lestari, D P Rahardja, S N Sirajuddin. "The role of gender on broiler farms in bone regency, South Sulawesi Province", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

4%

4

V S Lestari, D P Rahardja, S N Sirajuddin. "Biosecurity practices on beef cattle farms in

3%

Bone Regency, South Sulawesi", IOP  
Conference Series: Earth and Environmental  
Science, 2021

Publication

- 
- |   |   |     |
|---|---|-----|
| 5 | <a href="http://earchive.tpu.ru">earchive.tpu.ru</a><br>Internet Source   | 3%  |
| 6 | <a href="http://dspace.library.uu.nl">dspace.library.uu.nl</a><br>Internet Source   | 1%  |
| 7 | V. Renault, B. Damiaans, S. Sarrazin, M.-F. Humblet, J. Dewulf, C. Saegerman.<br>"Biosecurity practices in Belgian cattle farming: Level of implementation, constraints and weaknesses", Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 2018<br>Publication | <1% |
| 8 | V S Lestari, D P Rahardja, P Mappigau, St Rohani, S N Sirajuddin. "The attitude of beef cattle farmers to biosecurity adoption", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019<br>Publication                                      | <1% |
- 

Exclude quotes

Exclude matches  < 5 words

Exclude bibliography